



The Corporation of the Municipality of Wawa
Staff Report

Office of the Director of Infrastructure Services

Prepared For: Council Meeting	Report No.: CS 2017-08
Agenda Date: July 11, 2017	File No.: 9.40.4

Subject

Michipicoten River Village Water Tower Interior Lining Replacement

Summary of the Recommendation

It is recommended that Council accepts the proposal from Landmark Municipal Services to replace the interior lining at the Michipicoten River Village Water Tower and enters into agreement with Landmark.

List of Stakeholders

- Rate Payers
- Council
- Staff
- Landmark

Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is to provide a recommendation to Council to accept the proposal from Landmark Municipal Services to replace the interior lining at the Michipicoten River Village Water Tower.

Respectfully Submitted By:

Prepared By:

Cory Stainthorpe
Director of Infrastructure Services

Analysis

A rejuvenation of the Michipicoten River Village Water Tower to replace the interior lining was approved as part of the 2017 Capital Budget. A Request for Proposal for the work to be completed on the MRV Water Tower was publicly advertised starting on June 5, 2017 and closing on June 23, 2017. The Municipality received four (4) proposals for the project and all four (4) of the proposals submitted were considered valid proposals under the term and conditions listed in the Request for Proposal.

The proposals were evaluated according to the following breakdown:

- a) Experience and Qualifications (35 %)
 - i. Knowledge of Water Tower Restoration
 - ii. Resumes
 - iii. References
- b) Approach (35%)
 - i. Quality of the Contractors Approach
 - ii. Proposed Work Plan and Methodologies
 - iii. Type of Contractor Activities
 - iv. Timeline and Duration
- c) Budget (30%)

The considered criterion during the evaluation of the proposals is as follows:

Experience and Qualifications

The proposal will be reviewed for compliance with the RFP document to make sure all requirements are addressed. Proponents who include additional details, better overall understanding of the project and water tower may score higher in this criterion.

Approach

Proposals will be reviewed for innovative approaches and methodologies, quality of products and timeline of project which may add to the overall value realized by the Owner. Proposals which offer better value to the Owner will score higher in this criterion.

Budget

The costs presented in the proposal will be considered. Proposals which offer the lowest cost to the Municipality while still meeting or exceeding expectations and quality of work will score higher in this criterion.

The evaluations of the proposals are as follows:

REVIEW AND EVALUATION	POINT AVAILABLE	LANDMARK	MacDONALD APPLICATORS	JDCMI	C3 INDUSTRIAL (A Division of C3 Buildings and Infrastructure Inc.)
Experience and Qualifications (Knowledge, Resumes and References)	35	35	35	20	30
Approach (Quality of Approach, Proposed Work Plan and Methodologies, Type of Contractor Activities, Timeline and Duration)	35	35	30	20	25
Budget	30	25	10	23	26
Total	100	95	75	63	85

Landmark Municipal Services was the best approach, tied for experience and qualifications for the best and the highest evaluated proposal of the four (4) valid proposals submitted. Details on the financial implications will be discussed in Financial/Staffing Implications Section.

Financial/Staffing Implications

The proposal from Landmark Municipal Services was 2nd lowest bid, \$8,687.00 more than the lowest proposed base bid. Landmark proposed high quality products and standards, acceptable timeline for project, acceptable procedures and experienced staff.

Description	Price (excluding HST)
1. T-Rail and Tie-off Points	\$ 2,500.00
2. Coating Interior of Storage Tank Reservoir	\$ 130,000.00
3. Cleaning, Flushing and Disinfection of Reservoir	\$ 10,000.00
4. Other Requirements (i.e. Insurance, Clean-up, etc.)	\$ 15,087.00
Total Project Cost (excluding HST)	\$ 157,587.00

The total budget for this project in the 2017 Capital Budget is \$190,000.00; this project has been approved for funding from the Clean Water and Wastewater Fund (CWWF) in the amount of \$95,000.00 from Federal contribution, \$47,500.00 from Provincial contribution and \$47,500.00 from Municipal contribution. Therefore, it is recommended that the proposal from Landmark Municipal Services be accepted with all items as listed above.

Alternatives

Alternative 1

Accept the proposal from Landmark Municipal Services to replace the interior lining at the Michipicoten River Village Water Tower and enter into agreement with Landmark. **Recommended.**

Alternative 2

Do not accept the proposal from Landmark Municipal Services to replace the interior lining at the Michipicoten River Village Water Tower. **Not Recommended.**

Conclusion

If Council accepts the proposal from Landmark Municipal Services to replace the interior lining at the Michipicoten River Village Water Tower, the approval of removing the existing interior coating and recoating the interior tank of the MRV Water Tower will provide the Municipality with a number of direct benefits over the long term:

- Increased quality of drinking water
- Decreased maintenance time and costs

- Increased life span of the MRV Water Tower
- Increased safety with addition of new T-Rail and Tie-off points required to be installed while completing the interior lining replacement.

This will also allow the Municipality to complete cleaning and inspection of other areas in the Water Tower while it is down for the project.

Recommendation

It is recommended that Council accept the proposal from Landmark Municipal Services to replace the interior lining at the Michipicoten River Village Water Tower and enter into agreement with Landmark.

Attachments

None.